

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,512	07/18/2003	Holger Jessen	R 303200	1177
7590 03/23/2005			EXAMINER	
Walter Ottesen			LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H	
Patent Attorney P.O. Box 4026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Gaithersburg, MD 20885-4026			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Anniinani/a			
4		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Antique Comments		10/621,512	JESSEN, HOLGER			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jacques H Louis-Jacques	3661			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	January 2005.				
		is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)		·			
-	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 'No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendments Arguments

The amendments along with the arguments filed therewith on January 6, 2005 have been 1. entered and carefully considered by the examiner.

The objection to the drawings has been withdrawn.

With respect to the prior art rejection, Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 2. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Homeyer et al 3. [6,842,686].

Homeyer et al discloses a method and device for controlling the drive unit of a vehicle by adjusting an output quantity of the drive unit in dependence upon desired input quantities (abstract, figure 1, column 2). According to Homeyer et al, the adjustment (e.g., control) of the output quantity is performed by forming a desired value which considers the desired value input quantities in a sequence of their priorities (column 3). Note that the priority may be based, for example, on actuating time. Homeyer et al further discloses

Art Unit: 3661

that the desired input quantities are considered staring with the quantity having the lowest quantity, for example the smallest actuating time. See column 3. In column 4, Homeyer et al discloses coupling (or assigning) different priorities to the desired input quantities (lines 19-28). See also column 5. Homeyer et al discloses in column 6 that the priority is fixedly or permanently assigned to each of the desired input quantities. Moreover, in column 5, Homeyer et al discloses that the priority is variably assigned to the each one of the desired input quantities and that the priorities are assigned upon the operating state of the vehicle (lines 10-15). See also column 6. As described in the abstract and columns 2-3, in particular, Homeyer et al discloses that different types of desired input quantities are considered by different modules and desired input quantities of the same type are considered by a single module for forming the desired value. The desired value, according to Homeyer et al, can be a desired torque (column 3, 6). As described in column 7, the desired input quantities limit the desired value by an additive (or maximum) amount.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

6,368,248	Bauer et al	Apr. 2002
6,295,494	Nitschke et al	Sep. 2001
6,092,006	Dominke et al	Jul. 2000

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 703-305-9757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 703-305-8233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques Primary Examiner Art Unit 3661

/jlj